

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/626,999

Applicant(s)

CHENG ET AL.

Examiner

Fred I. Ehichioya

Art Unit

2162

## All Participants:

(1) Fred I. Ehichioya.

(2) Kenton Mullins (Reg. No. 36,331).

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 9 July 2007

Time: 2:00 PM

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:


## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner suggested to applicant's representative (Mr. Mullins) to combine claim 15 to claim 1 for a possible allowance. Mr. Mullins accepted examiner's proposition and authorizes examiner's amendment when allowance is approved.*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
MOHAMMAD ALI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)